UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,374	11/21/2003	Helen Routh	PHUS020537	3599
	7590 07/24/200 ICAL SYSTEMS	8	EXAM	IINER
	ELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS LUBIN, VALERIE			/ALERIE
P.O. BOX 3003 22100 BOTHEI	LL EVERETT HIGHV	VAY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOTHELL, WA	A 98041-3003		3626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers	10/719,374	ROUTH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	VALERIE LUBIN	3626	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply why reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ill, by statute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 21 November 2003		
,	o) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	, —	tters prosecution as to the m	arite ie
closed in accordance with the practice	· ·		citto io
closed in accordance with the practice	dilider Ex parte Quayre, 1999 6.	D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)∏ accepted or b)∏ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in f the priority documents have bee al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)	4) 🗖 Indon-ion	Summary /DTO 412\	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	O-948) Paper No	r Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date i Informal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 3626 Paper No. 20080714

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgements

1. Claim 1-29 are pending

For reference purposes, the document paper number is 20080714

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-9 and 14-21, drawn to obtaining, viewing and charging for medical images, classified in class 705, subclass 2.
 - II. Claims 10-14, drawn to software upgrading/updating, classified in class 717, subclass 168.
 - III. Claims 22-29, drawn to data network configuration, classified in class 709, subclass 220.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II for instance, has separate utility such as upgrading a software application. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together.

Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found

Art Unit: 3626 Paper No. 20080714

allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 4. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
 - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
 - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
 - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
 - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Art Unit: 3626 Paper No. 20080714

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3626 Paper No. 20080714

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VALERIE LUBIN whose telephone number is (571)270-5295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher L. Gilligan can be reached on 571-272-6770. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

VL

/C Luke Gilligan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3626